

## Nolan, Patrick

---

**From:** Scanning Customer Support  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2006 4:28 PM  
**To:** Weathers, Dorothy  
**Cc:** Nolan, Patrick; Chan, Christina; Chaudhari, Siddharth (RTIS); Scanning Customer Support  
**Subject:** RE: Printer Rush (09831951) - solved

SEQLIST has been rescanned.

Thank You,  
EM1  
Customer Support Team

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Scanning Customer Support  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:34 PM  
**To:** Weathers, Dorothy  
**Cc:** Nolan, Patrick; Chan, Christina; Scanning Customer Support  
**Subject:** RE: Printer Rush (09831951) - ACK

We have received your request and are taking the necessary steps to investigate this issue. Notification of our results will occur within five business days.

Thank you,

TK  
Scanning Customer Support

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Weathers, Dorothy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2006 3:00 PM  
**To:** Scanning Customer Support  
**Cc:** Nolan, Patrick; Chan, Christina  
**Subject:** RE: Printer Rush

Scanning Customer Support,  
Please order the box and rescan the SEQLIST dated 1/4/02. You will have to copy it to darken the print. Please contact me if you have a problem. Notify me and Ms. Chan when issue resolved. Thank you.

Dorothy Weathers  
OPRM  
Contract Support Specialist  
(703)305-0677 ext. 152  
Dorothy.Weathers@uspto.gov

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Chan, Christina  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2006 1:55 PM  
**To:** Weathers, Dorothy  
**Cc:** Nolan, Patrick  
**Subject:** FW: Printer Rush  
**Importance:** High

Dorothy,  
FYI

This is a printer rush. Could you help us rescan the sequence listing dated 1/4/02, the document code is

SEQLIST. The case is 09/831,951. Many thanks Chris

Chris Chan  
TC 1600 New Hire Training Coordinator and SPE 1644  
(571)-272-0841  
Remsen, 3E89

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nolan, Patrick  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2006 1:43 PM  
**To:** Chan, Christina  
**Subject:** Printer Rush

Christina, I have a printer rush because when the sequence listing was scanned into e-dan, it wasn't scanned clearly enough. What should I do?

Thanks, Patrick